**PRESENTATION SLIDES FOR PUN-LOSP** William Bricken July 1997

## Interface as Overview

• Input Logic

directory of files (edif, pun)
filename
logic specification string (symbolic logic notations)
 table
 propositional calculus
 parens
state transition graph
active-graph (highlighted circuit or subgraph)
subgraph (schematic, dgraph)
library (basic, custom)

- Activate-circuit test for equivalence, before-after partial evaluation, what-if
- Run-circuit evaluate provided bindings regression test with random bindings generate test vector for subgraph
- Transform-circuit apply BM axioms and theorems individually reduce active-graph with specified level of effort
- Connectivity coalesce pattern group active-graph
- Standardize form canonical form partition into independent sets-of-support partition variables into groups of n

• Abstraction

make-cell using pattern
make-block using pattern
find and apply current abstraction to active-graph
abstract variable symmetry groups

• Retiming

move register make timing blocks change critical path length memoize

 Layout map to graph of target technology rearrange graph display

 Set performance parameters packing (high level, # boards) timing (critical path, # of cycles) development time (degree of automation) area wires fan-in/out

- Statistics nodes, wires, path length, fan-in/out compare circuits
- Make active objects (cells, blocks)

## Losp Project Research History

Pure Boundary Math:

- distinction/void
- multiple representation, form diversity
- new proof techniques (insertion, graph-coloring)
- spatial deduction, unification, and predicate calculus

Graph Modeling:

- wire-or, reversibility, information loss, equivalence
- graph representation, interaction languages
- hierarchy, abstraction

Other:

- MCNC benchmarking
- forms of representation (matrix, data structure, pun, array)
- imaginary logics

# Technical Design Objectives

- out-of-nothing, inevitably (void-based BM)
- look for big win, potential of a paradigm shift
   as good as any published work
   better technique (traditional approaches are baroque)
   wider expressibility (size of problem is beyond comprehension)
- interactive symbolic tool suite seamless integration of design interactivity IA not AI, but high-level automation tools
- formal rigor is assumed
- set parallelism parallel model which gracefully degrades to software serialism
- graph-based homogeneous representation and transformation model
- capitalize on strength of BM logic synthesis (boolean optimization, retiming)
- hierarchically scaleable seamless traversal of abstraction hierarchy
- MCNC benchmarks

# Technical Issues

High-level

- all interesting transforms are NP
- massive database
  - (20K gates = 2MB edif file)
- many implementation levels (hardware, compiled software, emulation, simulation)
- unifying model (circuit, logic, graph)

Low-level

- management of dynamic subgraphs
- parens and graph traversal
- canonical forms
- subgraph abstraction
- deep extract

## Hardware Architecture

Graphs are specified by sets of vertices.

Graph and set operations must be modeled for parallelism.

The circuit itself computes in parallel.

So the Losp implementation supports strong parallelism.

Grain size of hardware architectures:

- very-fine-grain CAM mask
- FPGA
- fine-grain atomic threads (instruction sequences)

• • •

- course-grain processor array
- distributed processors

# What's a Graph

Graph:

A set of (labels for) vertices and a set of vertex pairs (edges between vertices)

Structure Sharing:

Graphs with multiple outputs

(and subgraphs with multiple upper connections) can be seen as a collection of single output graphs that have shared components.

# Graph algorithms:

• Traversal

connectedness, reachability, timing analysis, retiming

- Covering graph equivalence, library mapping, partitioning, coalesce
- Coloring

structure of connectivity, deep extract

### What's Boundary Math

- a calculus based on void, distinction (mark), imaginaries (i, j)
- higher dimensional, spatial representation graph and container models
- rewrite rules based on void-substitution (absorb, clarify, extract, distribute)
- models for logic, numbers, lambda calculus, graphics, knot theory, imaginaries, others

### What's Computational Logic

- table lookup (1940) brute force, memory >> time, exponential space
- natural deduction (1950) many rules, insightful proof paths, inefficient
- resolution (1970) single rule, automated, exponential clauses, dominant technique
- matrix logic (1970) similar to table lookup with function abstraction
- boundary logic (1990) automated, efficient, minimalist

### Boundary Logic Transforms

Axioms (all are deep transforms)

Dominion:	A ( ) = ( )
Involution:	((A)) = A
Pervasion:	A (A B) = A (B)

# Simple Theorems

Occlusion:	(() A) = void
Subsumption:	(A) (A B) = (A)
Cancellation:	((A B) (A (B))) = A
Resolution:	((A C)((A) B)(B C)) = ((A C)((A) B))
Distribution:	((A B)((A C)) = A ((B)(C))

Insertion is a new approach to computational logic:

(a b) (a b c) -> (a b) ((a b) a b c) (a b) (( ) a b c) (a b)

# Boolean Complexity

n	$#fn = 2^2n$	abstracted	
0 1	2 4	1 1	{( )} {(x)}
2	16	3	{(x), (:a :b), (((a b)((a)(b))))}
3	256	13	
4	65000	221	
5	2^32	~200000	
 400	2^2^400	<very large=""></very>	(function space of typical chip)

Abstraction operations:

- function negation
- combine literals
- variable symmetry and permutations

# Pun Levels of Hierarchical Abstraction

- different levels of abstraction
- same transformation mechanism across levels
- separate purely functional design from physical finetuning (layout)

Levels:

Compare

```
circuit
block
cell
parens
ground
bound-variables
cells to blocks
```

strong intercell calculus	no interblock calculus
no functional reference	strong embedded functional reference
no binding mechanism	environmental binding is expected
no concept of i/o	i/o driven evaluation and expansion

```
General Pun Form
```

circuit =

```
((circuit-name)
 ((main) (main-bots) (main-tops) (main-body))
 ((library-name1)(local-bots1)(local-tops1)(local-body1))
 ...<as-many-other-blocks-as-desired> )
```

```
block =
```

```
((block-name1)(local-bots1)(local-tops1)(local-body1))
```

```
body =
```

```
((cell-id1 parens1)
 (cell-id2 parens2)
 (cell-id3 block-call1)
   ...)
```

Block call =

```
(type-name <bot-bindings> <top-bindings>)
```

### Graph Partitioning

- Logic (function) is independent of layout (functional invariance).
- Cell and library forms convey design semantics.
- Structure sharing is an implementation choice, driven by available layout and routing resources.
- Partitioning, coalescing, form abstraction, and expansion of blocks and cells all depend on the goals of design, implementation efficiency, and technology mapping.

### Semantics of Pun Hierarchy

- Cell (dynamic changes) logic optimization variable abstraction basis conversion canonicalization LUT partitioning critical path optimization temporary coalesce fine-grained display
- Block (long-term storage) timing blocks pipelining and synchronized components longterm coalesce function and partial function evaluation what-if modeling layout partitioning/memoizing block design display components for reuse
- Circuit (problem, model) chip and board boundaries design parameters

## Cell Reduction Model

Expand cells into parens form whenever possible
 (more efficient, less memory, more modular)

Reduction Strategies:

•	grounds					
	0	(cell1 (cell2	(( ) a)) (1 3))	==>	(cell2	(3))
•	literals	(cell1	(a))	\	(cell2	(a) $(a)$
_				/		
•	single m	ention (cell1 (cell2	(2 3)) (4 5))	==>	(cell1	(3 (4 5)))
•	canonica	l standa (cell1	rdization ((b 3 a) (1 c)))	==>	(cell1	((c 1)(a b 3)))
•	distribu	te out (cell1	((a b)(a c)))	==>	(cell1	((a ((b)(c)))))
٠	function abstraction <see handout=""></see>					
•	variable symmetry abstraction <see handout=""></see>					
•	subgraph	restruc (cell1	turing ((a b)((a) c)))	==>	(cell1	((a b)((a) c)(b c)))

## Function Abstraction

When a function is abstracted from the parens form, the behavior of the function is differentiated from the behavior of the distinction.

An abstracted function must include both the form and the form's interaction with boundaries and spaces. Otherwise, the function will have to be destructured into parens to participate in transformations.

Eg: the interaction between distinctions and equality:

# Types of Abstraction

Structural

(share identical circuit structure) coalesce

A = A

• grouping (isolate collections of subgraphs)

(A B C) = (A ((B C)))

• distribute (factor, trade reference for depth)

((A B)(A C)) = A ((B)(C))

• pivot (standardize literal polarity)

((A B)((A) C)) = (A (B)) ((A) (C))

Functional <see handout>

- abstraction (make function into basis cell or block)
- partial evaluation (expand function by a bound variable)
- (test for tautology) equivalence
- symmetry groups
   sentitioning (embed relational constraints)
- partitioning (specify subgraph based on set of support)

## Defunfun

An essential premise of BM is that object and process (form and function) are different views on the same thing.

Defunfun converts cell sets (and block sets) to active functions.

The *circuit* is a shared data structure for cell and block "active objects", managed as a Linda object (get, put, copy)

The active-object model:

- programming language debugger becomes a circuit analysis tool (trace, step, etc.)
- can configure objects by adding message-handlers to function body •
- function body becomes a site for hooking graphics actions
- memoization: functions with local store can retain previous results •
- autonomous parallelism
- dynamic interactivity of dnodes eliminates search from evaluation
- only necessary activities are cued, can be handled locally

## Implemented...mid-1997

- edif2pun file management modular inclusion of new gate types, library elements make-library
- evaluate grounds provided random propagated and trapped
- parens reduce

grounds  $(() a) \implies \langle \text{void} \rangle$ shallow-literal-extract  $(a (a b)) \implies (a (b))$ deep-literal-extract  $(a (b (c (a d)))) \implies (a (b (c (d))))$ deep-recursive-literal-extract  $(a (b (a b c))) \implies (a (b (c)))$ shallow-bounds-extract  $((a b)(c (a b))) \implies ((a b) (c))$ deep-bounds-extract  $((a b)(c (d (a b)))) \implies ((a b) (c (d)))$ deep-recursive-bounds-extract  $((a b) ((c d (a b)) (e (c d)))) \implies ((a b) ((c d)(e)))$ 

## Mostly Implemented...mid-1997

- functional equivalence (satisfiability checker)
- partition and form abstraction coalesce (structure sharing) set of support n-lut-mapping
- parametric circuit generation

   number of dnodes = area of circuit
   number of variable mentions = number of wires
   number of specific variable mentions = fan-in and fan-out
   longest path through subgraph = critical path time
- display circuit, bounds, dnet, parens
- statistical-compare-files
   regression to provided test vectors
   comparative changes in
   tops, bots, cells, dnodes, vars, chars, max-depth, max-length
- meta-reduce apply a list of transformations to a set of circuits
- generate-all-boolean-functions
- make-bdd