

## Strings and Patterns

### String Processing Design Issues

*Size of alphabet:* the alphabet is the set of characters in the string language

Boolean alphabet =  $\{0, 1\}$

simple English alphabet =  $\{a, b, c, \dots, x, y, z\}$

Larger alphabets require more effort for matching

*Redundancy:* strings with high character redundancy require more comparisons

e.g.: “abbaabbacdcdcd” is more redundant than “thisstringistoolong”

*Processor/data-structure:* Sometimes it is easier not to decrement the pointer index (i.e. not to back up). Some languages do not support intertwined functional recursion.

### Brute-force-match

```
pattern[0..3] = "bcde"
string[0..14] = "abcdeabcdeabcde"
```

Compare the first character in the pattern to the first character in the string. If it matches, compare the rest of the pattern to the string, one character at a time. When it doesn't match, compare the next character in the string to the beginning of the pattern. Repeat until the string is exhausted.

```
pattern[0..M]
string[0..N]
brute-match[string] =
  i := 0; j := 0;
  loop until j=M or i=N
    if pattern[j] = string[i]
      then i++; j++
      else i := i-j+1; j := 0
  if j=M
    then return (i-M)
    else return i
*/increment if matching
*/reset if not matching
*/pattern match found
*/location of start of match
*/end of string
```

$N \cdot M$  comparisons worst-case, but average case is almost always  $N+M$

### KMP-match and Boyer-Moore-match

KMP-match is the same as brute-force-match, except when there is a mismatch, it backs up the string pointer as little as possible by using the knowledge that the examined string characters before the mismatch do not match the pattern.

Boyer-Moore-match is the same as KMP-match, except that it makes the comparisons from right to left, thus assuring that the maximum number of mismatching characters can be skipped. If the front of the pattern does not match, then you can only skip ahead one character in



*Example*, Backus-Naur form for a regular language:

```

<expression> ::= <term> | <term> <expression>
<term> ::= <factor> | <factor><term>
<factor> ::= (<expression>) | v | (<expression>)* | v*

```

## Top-down Parsing

The input expression (in the above example,  $5*(3+4)$ ) is processed one character at a time. The parser calls each production rule recursively until either the entire expression is accepted or a syntax error is identified.

*Example* of a parser/recognizer:

```

input[0..6]          */in the example, N=7 for the seven characters in "5*(3+4)"
i = 0
expression =
  case input[i]
    "("              i++;
                    expression;
                    if input[i] = ")" then i++ else ERROR
    "-"              operator;
                    expression
    id                i++          */if id has more than one character
                    */then need to process id length here
    otherwise        expression;
                    operator;
                    expression

operator =
  if member[ input[i], { "+", "-", "*", "/", "^" } ]
    then i++
    else ERROR

```

## Pattern Variables

Some programming languages allow patterns as variables. Identifiers within the pattern control decomposition and construction.

Record:

```
((first-name last-name) (address-digits street-name city state) (phone))
```

*Example*:

```
Get-component [(( _ _ ) ( _ _ city _ ) ( _ )),my-record]
  returns city = <my-city>
```

```
Change-city [record-pattern, new-city]
  returns (( _ _ ) ( _ _ new-city _ ) ( _ ))
```